

From: [Larry Friedberg](#)
To: [Wireless Comments](#); [Al Royse](#); [Christine Krolik](#); [Larry May](#); [Marie Chuang](#); [Sophie Cole](#); [Ann Ritzma](#); [Paul Willis](#); [Lisa Natusch](#); [Christopher Diaz](#)
Subject: Opposed to Crown Castle Applications
Date: Monday, June 28, 2021 3:03:46 PM

Mayor, Vice Mayor and Council Members,

In tonight's Agenda package, Council and Staff have deceptively and cynically twisted words and intent of the many residents who oppose Crown Castle's 13 applications for wireless towers in Hillsborough. An expressed wish to improve cell coverage in Hillsborough is NOT an endorsement of nor a compelling reason to approve Crown Castle's proposed 13-tower plan.

Instead of spending the last year and a half merely negotiating away Hillsborough citizens' property rights behind closed doors with Crown Castle, that time should have been spent bringing citizens together in a public meeting to air out all the issues, hiring qualified cell technology and equipment people with the directive to help us come up with a feasible plan that keeps towers 150' from homes and updating our design standards in a responsible way.

It's not too late. I urge you to do the right thing by denying the 13 applications. It's not too late to be heroic.

Thank you,
Larry Friedberg



From: [Josh B](#)
To: [Wireless Comments](#)
Cc: [Sophie Cole](#); [Marie Chuang](#); [Christine Krolik](#); [Larry May](#); [Al Royse](#)
Subject: Vote to deny the application for H27m3/Marlborough tank site
Date: Monday, June 28, 2021 3:05:14 PM

I write to request the denial of the proposed application H27m3 related to a new wireless installation on the Marlborough water tank site for the following reasons:

1. This tower is too close to homes at **48 feet from the nearest home, my home**, and despite the smaller setbacks in this area, the proposed installation fails the 500 foot average setback rule (54 feet). In addition the Town was previously concerned about a proposed Crown Castle tower 200 feet from The Bridge School and requested it be moved, but yet feels comfortable placing installation only 50 feet from homes with school-aged children; how many children need to live in my home before you are concerned?
2. The Marlborough water tank site is one of the **smallest parcels in town** in general at a quarter acre, is already jammed full of 2 massive water tanks, 2 accessory buildings and more, all situated in a tightly packed residential area, making it a poor candidate for another large piece of infrastructure.
3. As well, this tank site has **terrible aesthetic elements** in place, which coupled with the current and proposed wireless installations at the front of the tank site along the road and minimal to no visual screening, even if just compared to all the other water tank sites, you will make an already industrial looking parcel even less aesthetically appropriate.
4. Based on the report I provided from a certified arborist trusted by the Town, Crown Castle's build plan for this site includes 4' wide trench for 30+ feet along my property line **which will likely result in full tree failure with my home and family being the target which could result in personal injury or even the loss of life.**

Myself and others have provided you with multiple nearby alternative sites for a potential wireless installation away from homes, so either deny the application for this site or find an alternative location. While an alternative location may not be 100% ideal for Crown Castle, this is a shared burden situation as described by Mayor Royse, and Crown Castle must be forced to share the burden of a slightly less optimal location that preserves our town's aesthetics and keeps towers away from homes, while also providing improved coverage. Additionally, at a minimum, clearly more thought needs to be given to the H27M3 site location, as it seems inexcusable that an entire area like Lakeview, which H27m3 is the closest proposed site to, will see no improvement in even just Verizon coverage as part of this plan.

Additionally, I encourage you to deny all 13 applications, as overall this plan is very poorly thought out from the perspective of our residents; many clamor for additional towers for improved safety in an emergency, not knowing that (1) these new sites will be non-functioning in a loss of power situation and (2) they will only support Verizon, by far the smallest of the 3 major carriers in the USA.

Where is the safety in this plan for the 70% of people not using Verizon* or living in the large swathes of areas of town like Lakeview, near Summit @ Skyline and even right near Town Hall that **will still have "poor" (according to Crown Castle) coverage based on their own coverage maps even after** the 13 proposed sites are installed?

Regards,
Josh Burns



* see information on wireless subscriber share
at <https://www.statista.com/statistics/199359/market-share-of-wireless-carriers-in-the-us-by-subscriptions/> is interested; Verizon is only 29%

From: [WILSON LEUNG](#)
To: [Wireless Comments](#)
Subject: Cell towers
Date: Monday, June 28, 2021 3:46:13 PM

To whom it may concern:

I am the resident at [REDACTED] and I am very much in support of installing cell towers in the area. The current cell phone service in our neighborhood is very poor and creates an immediate danger due to the inability to contact police or emergency services when they are needed. This is especially true with wildfires and burglaries on the rise.

I can see no reason to further delay for the installation of this essential infrastructure. Anyone who claims that there are health hazards related to the cell phone towers clearly do not have any issue with these towers in other parts of the bay area or the world for that matter. It is hard to imagine that Hillsborough should be the only town in the Bay Area without good cell service because of some perceived health issue which is blatantly untrue.

I am fully supportive of implementing the current cell tower plans that are being proposed.
Thank you.

Best,
Wilson Leung

From: [Natalie Louie Kao](#)
To: [Wireless Comments](#)
Cc: [Edward Kao](#)
Subject: Cellular coverage in Hillsborough
Date: Monday, June 28, 2021 3:51:17 PM

Hello Hillsborough Council,

We are residents and wanted to put in our support for increased cellular coverage in Hillsborough because 1) home security systems run on cellular and with increased crime during Covid this has become more important than ever and 2) Ed Kao is a physician, and the hospital reaches him through hospital issued cell phones and he's already missed important calls and messages. He was also the physician on call for months at a time during Covid and needs to address not only patient emergencies but hospital wide emergencies.

Having no cellular coverage is and will continue to cost potential lives.

Please increase cellular coverage.

Thank you,

Ed Kao
Natalie Kao



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Wireless Comments](#)
Subject: Hi Al,Sophie and others
Date: Monday, June 28, 2021 3:52:14 PM

Please don't approve the placement of the cell tower so close to my house. Thanks! Joe Kahn. [REDACTED]

From: [Gary Goodman](#)
To: [Wireless Comments](#)
Subject: H31 Cell tower from Master Window
Date: Monday, June 28, 2021 4:03:01 PM

Mayor and Council Members. This is a photo from the upstairs window of the Ralston and Pullman residence across the street from the proposed H31 tower. The pole will literally be in the center of the window "frame" view. You can also see how close the pole will be to the ADU unit located behind the pole (approx. 25 ft.). This pole could not really be much more intrusive to a neighborhood. Please decline and/or relocate this pole.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gary Goodman [REDACTED]
Subject: Cell pole view from master
Date: June 28, 2021 at 3:56:34 PM PDT
To: Gary Goodman [REDACTED]



Sent from my iPhone